

# Programming Language Semantics and Compiler Design

(Sémantique des Langages de Programmation et Compilation)

## Exercise: The Halting Problem

Frédéric Lang & Laurent Mounier  
[firstname.lastname@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr](mailto:firstname.lastname@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)  
Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Inria,  
Laboratoire d'Informatique de Grenoble & Verimag

Master of Sciences in Informatics at Grenoble (MoSIG)  
Master 1 info

Univ. Grenoble Alpes - UFR IM<sup>2</sup>AG  
[www.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr](http://www.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr) — [im2ag.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr](http://im2ag.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

## Goal of this exercise

We want to answer the following question:

In an arbitrary programming language, is it possible to define a procedure that takes as input any representation of a program  $P$  and provides as output a Boolean which says whether  $P$  terminates (**tt**) or not (**ff**)?

Note that such a function should provide a result (i.e., terminate) on any input!

To answer this question, we will first:

- ▶ define the minimal characteristics of an arbitrary programming language that all general-purpose programming languages have
- ▶ assume that it is possible to write such a function that we will call **terminate**
- ▶ study how **terminate** should behave when taking as input the representation of various functions

# The programming language

We consider an arbitrary programming language, which we call **L**.

We require **L** to have:

- ▶ Functions that may have input parameters and may return a result.
- ▶ Data structures enabling an encoding of **L**'s own programs (e.g., abstract syntax trees, binary code stored in files or character strings, etc.).

If **L** is typed, we call **fun** the main type of this representation.

# Functions

It must be possible to write at least the following functions (types can be ignored if **L** is not a typed language):

- ▶ A nullary function “**true** () : **bool**”, which always returns the value **tt**.
- ▶ A nullary function “**loop** () : **bool**”, which loops forever (i.e., never returns).
- ▶ A unary function “**loop\_if** (*b* : **bool**) : **bool**”, which loops forever if *b* is **tt** and returns *b* otherwise.

## Exercise 1

Write those function in your favorite programming language, or in **While** extended with function definitions.

## Solution of exercise 1

► In C:

```
bool ftrue () { return true; }  
bool loop () { for ( ; ; ); return true; }  
bool loop_if (bool b) { if (b) return loop (); return b; }
```

► In **While** extended with function definitions and Boolean results:

```
ftrue () : bool = return true  
loop () : bool = while true do skip od; return true  
loop_if (b : bool) : bool = if b then return loop () else return b fi
```

## Representation of **L** functions using **L** data structures

For a function  $F$  written in the language **L** we use the meta-notation

$$\llbracket F \rrbracket$$

to denote the **L** data structure (of type **fun**) representing  $F$ .

### Example 1

$\llbracket \text{loop\_if} \rrbracket$  denotes the **L** data structure representing function **loop\_if**.

## The terminate function

We assume the existence in **L** of the function:

$$\text{terminate} (f : \text{fun}) : \text{bool}$$

so that  $\text{terminate} (\llbracket F \rrbracket)$  evaluates to **ff** if function  $F$  may loop forever on some input, and to **tt** otherwise.

We also define in **L** the following two nullary functions:

▶  $\text{loop\_if\_terminate} (f : \text{fun}) : \text{bool} = \text{loop\_if} (\text{terminate} (f))$

▶  $\text{self\_loop\_if\_terminate} () : \text{bool} =$   
 $\text{loop\_if\_terminate} (\llbracket \text{self\_loop\_if\_terminate} \rrbracket)$

# Evaluation of calls to `terminate` and other functions

## Exercise 2

What does `terminate` (`[[F]]`) return for  $F$  ranging among the following functions:

```
f01 () : bool = terminate ([[loop]])
f02 () : bool = terminate ([[ftrue]])
f03 () : bool = loop_if_terminate ([[loop]])
f04 () : bool = loop_if_terminate ([[ftrue]])
f05 () : bool = terminate ([[f01]])
f06 () : bool = terminate ([[f02]])
f07 () : bool = terminate ([[f03]])
f08 () : bool = terminate ([[f04]])
f09 () : bool = loop_if_terminate ([[f01]])
f10 () : bool = loop_if_terminate ([[f02]])
f11 () : bool = loop_if_terminate ([[f03]])
f12 () : bool = loop_if_terminate ([[f04]])
```



## Solution of Exercise 2

f01 () = false

f02 () = true

f03 () = false

f04 () loops forever

f05 () = true

f06 () = true

f07 () = true

f08 () = false

f09 () loops forever

f10 () loops forever

f11 () loops forever

f12 () = false

First hypothesis: `self_loop_if_terminate ()` loops forever

### Exercise 3

Assume that the call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` loops forever. What can you conclude from this function's definition?

## Solution of Exercise 3

The call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` loops forever if and only if:

- ▶ `loop_if_terminate ([[self_loop_if_terminate]])` loops forever, by definition of `self_loop_if_terminate`, i.e.,
- ▶ `loop_if (terminate ([[self_loop_if_terminate]]))` loops forever, by definition of `loop_if_terminate`, i.e.,
- ▶ `terminate ([[self_loop_if_terminate]])` is true, by definition of `loop_if`, i.e.,
- ▶ `self_loop_if_terminate` always terminate, by definition of `terminate`.

Therefore, `self_loop_if_terminate ()` loops forever if and only if it always terminate. This is contradictory. Thus, if `terminate` exists, then the function call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` terminates.

Second hypothesis: `self_loop_if_terminate ()` terminates

### Exercise 4

Assume that the call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` terminates. What can you conclude from this function's definition?

## Solution of Exercise 4

The call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` terminates if and only if:

- ▶ `loop_if_terminate ([[self_loop_if_terminate]])` terminates, by definition of `self_loop_if_terminate`, i.e.,
- ▶ `loop_if (terminate ([[self_loop_if_terminate]]))` terminates, by definition of `loop_if_terminate`, i.e.,
- ▶ `terminate ([[self_loop_if_terminate]])` is false, by definition of `loop_if`, i.e.,
- ▶ `self_loop_if_terminate` may loop forever, by definition of `terminate`.

Since `self_loop_if_terminate` is a deterministic function that does not take any input, this means that the call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` loops forever (it is the only possible call to function `self_loop_if_terminate`).

Therefore, `self_loop_if_terminate ()` terminates if and only if it loops forever.

This is contradictory. Thus, if `terminate` exists, then the function call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` loops forever.

## Conclusion

### Exercise 5

What can you conclude from Exercises 4 and 5?

## Conclusion

### Exercise 5

What can you conclude from Exercises 4 and 5?

We made a single assumption: function `terminate` exists. Building on this, we proved that the function call `self_loop_if_terminate ()` both terminates and loops forever.

This contradiction means that the assumption **is not valid**.

Therefore, it is **not possible** to write such a function in any programming language having the desired functionalities for **L**. This includes your favorite programming language.

Said differently, it is not possible to prove automatically that a program terminates.

This confirms Alan Turing's result: there is no procedure to determine whether a Turing machine halts. This is known as **undecidability of the halting problem**. This is not a surprise as any program can be simulated by a Turing machine and any Turing machine can be simulated by a program (a property of programming languages known as **Turing completeness**). If we had shown that `terminate` exists, we would have contradicted Turing's result.