



Software security, secure programming

Tools for code security analysis

Master M2 Cybersecurity

Academic Year 2024 - 2025

Motivation

Most software (are likely to) contain security vulnerabilities

There is a strong need for tools allowing to:

- detect potential vulnerabilities
- help to evaluate their exploitability/dangerousness
- \rightarrow Useful for:

developers, users of 3rd-party libraries/applications, code auditors, etc.

Other possible applications :

- malware (behavioral) analysis
- reverse engineering
- code (de-)obfuscation
- exploit generation
- variant analysis
- etc.

Several classes of tools

Syntactic vs Semantic

- syntactic: check compliance w.r.t. to coding rules/standarts
- semantic: check for behavioral inconsistencies

Static vs Dynamic

- static: check are performed at "compile time" (no concrete code execution)
- dynamic: on-line and/or offline checks require code execution steps

Black vs Grey vs White Box

- black box: no access required to the target code
- white box: full access required to the (source ?) target code
- grey box: partial access required to the target code

Taking into account the limits of computability ...

... no hope to get a fully automated (powerful) tool:

all non-trivial semantic properties of programs are undecidable [Rice theorem]

Possible work-arounds:

- Approximate enough the program behavior to make the analysis decidable ⇒ the results may be no longer sound no complete
- use a semi-algorithm if the analysis terminates then it gives sound and complete results ...

In practice:

re-use & extend existing code analysis techniques used for compilation, test, verification

Over-approximation of the program behavior



Sound: "correct" verdicts are always reliable ... (never miss an "incorrect" execution)

Not Complete: may reject correct programs ... (∃ "false positives")

Under-approximation of the program behavior



Unsound: "correct" verdicts are not reliable ... (may miss "incorrect" executions)

Complete: never reject correct programs ... ("incorrect" execution reported are real ones)

In the following ...

An overview of:

- dynamic approaches:
 - fuzzing
 - dynamic-symbolic execution
- static approaches:
 - value-set analysis
 - code-pattern based vulnerability detection